

TENTATIVE RULINGS

FOR: May 16, 2019

The Court may exercise its discretion to **disregard** a late filed paper in law and motion matters. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1300(d).)

Unlawful Detainer Cases – Pursuant to the restrictions in Code of Civil Procedure section 1161.2, no tentative rulings are posted for unlawful detainer cases and appearances are required.

Court Reporting Services – The Court does not provide official court reporters in proceedings for which such services are not legally mandated. Parties are responsible for either making the appropriate request in advance or arranging for their own private court reporter. Go to <http://napacountybar.org/court-reporting-services/> for information about local private court reporters. Attorneys or parties must confer with each other to avoid having more than one court reporter present for the same hearing.

CIVIL LAW & MOTION CALENDAR – Hon. Victoria Wood, Dept. A (Historic Courthouse) at 8:30 a.m.

Brian John Deutsch v. Michael Perreault, et al.

26-66780

STATUS REVIEW

TENTATIVE RULING: In light of the appellate court argument set for June 11, 2019, no appearance is required for the status review hearing. A status review hearing is set for August 16, 2019, at 8:30 a.m. in Dept. A.

PROBATE CALENDAR – Hon. Monique Langhorne, Dept. B (Historic Courthouse) at 8:30 a.m.

Estate of Deborah L. Calvin

17PR000189

HEARING TO SET TRIAL

APPEARANCE REQUIRED

.....
Conservatorship of Norman Bhatti

26-42035

REVIEW HEARING

TENTATIVE RULING: After a review of the matter, the Court finds the conservator is acting in the best interest of the conservatee. Based on the report of the court investigator, the

Court determines by clear and convincing evidence that the conservatee cannot communicate, with or without reasonable accommodation, a desire to participate in the voting process, and therefore orders the conservatee disqualified from voting pursuant to Elections Code section 2208

On its own motion, the Court finds it is in the best interest of the conservatee to transfer the proceedings to Solano County Superior Court because the conservatee and conservator reside in Solano County. The case is set for a review hearing on July 16, 2019, at 8:30 a.m. in Dept. B at the Napa County Superior Court. The hearing is to confirm receipt of the notification from the Solano County Superior Court that it has received the transferred case. If the notification has not been made, this Court will make a reasonable inquiry into the status of the matter.

The conservator is instructed to contact the Civil Filing Division at the Napa County Superior Court to pay, subject to any applicable fee waiver, the: (1) transfer fees from the Napa County Superior Court; and (2) the filing fee for the Solano County Superior Court.

If the conservator seeks to have any fees waived in the Solano County Superior Court, he must submit to the Civil Filing Division at the Napa County Superior Court a new completed fee waiver application for transmittal.

The clerk is directed to transmit to the clerk of the court in Solano County a certified or exemplified copy of this order, together with all papers in the proceeding on file. The clerk is directed to send notice to the parties.

.....
Conservatorship of Lee, Mary Margaret

26-58701

REVIEW HEARING

TENTATIVE RULING: After a review of the matter, the Court finds the conservator is acting in the best interest of the conservatee. Thus, the case is set for a biennial review hearing in two years, on May 18, 2021, at 8:30 a.m. in Dept. B. The court investigator shall prepare a biennial investigator report for the next hearing date. The clerk is directed to send notice to the parties.

.....
At 9:00 a.m.

Conservatorship of Albert Conrad Van Dijk

19MH000029

PETITION FOR APPOINTMENT OF LPS CONSERVATOR

APPEARANCE REQUIRED